

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Lake Tahoe Basin Federal Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 01:26:35 AM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Agriculture		2018	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
Lake Tahoe Basin Federal Advisory Committee		5244	
4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	10/15/2015	10/15/2017	
8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date	
Yes		10/15/2017	
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority			
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
Departmental Authority, Executive Order 13057	07/26/1997	Continuing	No
15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board			
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear		
17a. Open 0	17b. Closed 0	17c. Partially Closed 0	Other Activities 0
17d. Total 0			
Meetings and Dates			
No Meetings			

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$25,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$500.00

18d. Total	\$0.00	\$25,500.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.25

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee provides advice based on the consensus of its members to the Federal Interagency Partnership, established by Executive Order 13057 to work cooperatively to protect the extraordinary natural, recreational, and ecological resources in the Lake Tahoe Region. The advice of the committee is the product of a broad array of local, regional, state, and national interests concerned with the environmental and economic health of the Lake Tahoe Basin.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The 20 members on the committee represent Tahoe Regional Planning Agency; Property Rights; Education; Ski Resorts; Science & Research; State of California; Transportation; North Shore Economic & Recreation; Resort Associations; California Local Government; Nevada Local Government; Environmental; Members-at-Large(2); Labor; Gaming; Washoe Tribe; South Shore Economics & Recreation; State of Nevada; and National Environmental.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Due to the expiration of the charter, there were no committee meetings held in FY18.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Establishing this committee was necessary to carry out the President's Executive Order directing the Federal Interagency Partnership to work with local and regional agencies to protect the natural, recreational, and ecological resources in the Lake Tahoe Region. No other structure exists that enables a broad representation of local, regional, tribal, and national interests to provide the kind of advice the Federal Interagency Partnership needs. In addition, the committee has been identified by the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act (Pub. L. No. 106-506) and the implementation agreement for the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (SNPLMA) (Pub. L. No. 105-263) as essential in providing advice and recommendations to the Partnership in implementing both acts and the Federal share of the Environmental Improvement Program at Lake Tahoe.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

No committee recommendations, as this committee has been terminated until a decision to re-establish.

Designated Federal Officer

Jeff Marsolais Forest Supervisor, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit

Narrative Description

The committee provides advice based on the consensus of its members to the Federal Interagency Partnership, established by Executive Order 13057 to work cooperatively to protect the extraordinary natural, recreational, and ecological resources in the Lake Tahoe Region. The advice of the committee is the product of a broad array of local, regional, state, and national interests concerned with the environmental and economic health of the Lake Tahoe Basin.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

N/A

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>

\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000

☐

Over \$10,000,000

☐

Cost Savings Other

☐

Cost Savings Comments

N/A

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

366

Number of Recommendations Comments

No recommendations were provided in FY18. 366 from previous fiscal years.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Due to the expiration of the charter, no recommendations were implemented in FY18.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

NA

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities

☐

Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments